An introduction to Einstein constraints and the seed-to-solution method

Philippe G. LeFloch

Sorbonne Université Laboratoire Jacques-Louis Lions Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique Blog: philippelefloch.org

Outline of this talk

- 1. Topic for this lecture
- 2. Exact vs. asymptotic localization problems
- 3. A parametrization of localized initial data sets
- 4. Localization in cone-like domains
- 5. Main statement with super-harmonic control
- 6. Concluding remarks

Main collaborators

The-Cang Nguyen (Montpellier)

Bruno Le Floch (Paris)

< ロ > < 母 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > の < ??

1. TOPIC for THIS LECTURE

Einstein's constraint equations

"prescribed curvature problem"

- manifold (M, g, k) with finitely many asymptotic ends
- unknowns: Riemannian metric g and symmetric (0, 2)-tensor field k

extrinsic curvature in the dynamical picture

- matter content: scalar field $H_{\star} : \mathbb{M} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ vector field M_{\star}
- Einstein's Hamiltonian and momentum constraints

 $R_g + (\operatorname{Tr}_g k)^2 - |k|_g^2 = H_\star$ $\operatorname{Div}_g(k - (\operatorname{Tr}_g k)g) = M_\star$

Notation

It is convenient to introduce the (2,0)-tensor h by $h := (k - \operatorname{Tr}_g(k)g)^{\sharp\sharp}$

$$\mathcal{H}(g,h) \coloneqq R_g + \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{Tr}_g h)^2 - |h|_g^2 \qquad \qquad \mathcal{M}(g,h) \coloneqq \operatorname{Div}_g h$$
$$\mathcal{G}(g,h) \coloneqq (\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{M})(g,h) = (\mathcal{H}_\star, \mathcal{M}_\star)$$

In the dynamical picture, $\mathcal{G}(g, h)$ is a spacetime vector.

Vast and rich literature

- Conformal method Lichnerowicz (1960s), Choquet-Bruhat, Chrusciel, Corvino, Delay, Dilts, Galloway, Gicquaud, Holst, Isenberg, Maxwell, Mazzeo, Miao, Pollack, Schoen, etc.
- Variational method Corvino, Corvino-Schoen, Chrusciel-Delay, Carlotto-Schoen, etc.

Major achievements

- existence of initial data, explicit constructions, physically relevant solutions
- general relativity, Riemannian geometry
- numerous classes of solutions: compact, various types of asymptotic ends
- including gluing techniques, combine two different solutions together
- A. Carlotto, The general relativistic constraint equations, Living Reviews in Relativity (2021).
- Very active subject: Lee, Lesourd, Corvino, Pasqualotto, etc.

Shielding gravity: localization at infinity

- asymptotically Euclidean initial data sets
- phenomena of anti-gravity (or shielding)

Carlotto and Schoen Chruściel and Delay

- solutions that are localized at infinity
 - The Positive Mass Theorem implies restrictions on gluing at infinity.
 - identically Euclidian near infinity except in a cone with possibly arbitrary small angle
- Other recent developments
 - S. Aretakis, S. Czimek, I. Rodnianski: characteristic gluing problem
 - ▶ Y.-C. Mao and Z.-K. Tao: localization "a la Carlotto-Schoen" in narrow domains

Localization with (super-)harmonic control

- Carlotto and Schoen
 - solutions with sub-harmonic control



- conjecture: gluing should be possible at harmonic level
- Localization results with harmonic and super-harmonic control
 - PLF & The-Cang Nguyen, 2020: The seed-to-solution method for the Einstein constraint equations
 - Bruno Le Floch & PLF, 2023





Figure: Gluing of the Euclidean metric and the Schwarzschild metric. Left: *exact localization with sub-harmonic control* Middle: *asymptotic localization with harmonic control* Right: *exact localization with harmonic control*

2. EXACT vs. ASYMPTOTIC LOCALIZATION PROBLEMS

Theorem. The seed-to-solution method(PLF-Nguyen)Given any seed data set (M, g_1, h_1) on a 3-manifold (with a single end, say):
a Riemannian metric g_1 and a symmetric two-tensor h_1
satisfying (suitable smallness conditions and) $1/2 < p_G \leq \min(1, p_M)$
 $1/2 < p_M < +\infty$ $g_1 = g_{\mathsf{Eucl}} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-p_G})$ $h_1 = \mathcal{O}(r^{-p_G-1})$
 $\mathcal{H}(g_1, h_1) = \mathcal{O}(r^{-p_M-2})$ $\mathcal{H}(g_1, h_1) = \mathcal{O}(r^{-p_M-2})$ $\mathcal{M}(g_1, h_1) = \mathcal{O}(r^{-p_M-2})$ there exists a solution (g, h) to the vacuum Einstein equations $\mathcal{G}(g, h) = 0$.

Sub-harmonic decay: $p_M < 1$ $g = g_1 + \mathcal{O}(r^{-p_M}) \qquad h = h_1 + \mathcal{O}(r^{-p_M-1})$ Harmonic decay: $p_M = 1$ $g = g_1 + \frac{\tilde{m}}{r} + o(r^{-1}) \qquad \mathcal{H}(g_1, h_1) \text{ and } \mathcal{M}(g_1, h_1) \text{ in } L^1(M)$ $h = h_1 + \mathcal{O}(r^{-2})$ Super-harmonic decay: $p_M > 1$ $g = g_1 + \frac{\tilde{m}}{r} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-p}) \qquad h = h_1 + \mathcal{O}(r^{-2})$ Mass modulator $\tilde{m} = \tilde{m}(g_1, h_1) = \text{const.} \int_M \mathcal{H}(g_1, h_1) \, dV_{g_1} + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G}(g_1, h_1)^2)$

Exact localization problem

Carlotto and Schoen

- Vacuum constraint Einstein equations

Decompose asymptotic infinity into three angular regions

- \mathscr{C}_a : cone with (possibly arbitrarily small) angle $a \in (0, 2\pi)$
- $\mathscr{C}_{a+\varepsilon}^{c}$: complement of the same cone with (slightly) larger angle $a + \varepsilon$
- $\mathcal{T}_a^{\varepsilon}$: remaining transition region

 \mathscr{C}_a and $\mathscr{C}_{a+\varepsilon}^c$: the metric <u>coincides</u> with Euclidean/Schwarzschild ones solve the vacuum Einstein equations in the transition region $\mathscr{T}_{a,\varepsilon}$

- Sub-harmonic control in $\mathscr{T}_{a,\varepsilon}$, that is, r^{-p} with $p \in (1/2,1)$

- Question raised by Carlotto and Schoen

construct solutions (with prescribed asymptotic) enjoying the 1/r harmonic decay in <u>all angular directions</u> $1/r^{p}$ region Euclidean $1/r^{p}$ region

Asymptotic localization problem

slightly relax the localization condition

- asymptotic at a super-harmonic rate to prescribed metrics



3. A PARAMETRIZATION of INITIAL DATA SETS

Definition

A localized reference set (M, g_0, h_0, ω) consists of

- Riemannian manifold (M, g_0)
- symmetric (2, 0)-tensor h_0 on M
- localization weight $\omega \in [0, +\infty]$
 - a smooth open set $\Omega \subset M$, referred to as the gluing domain

 $\omega \begin{cases} > 0 & \text{in the gluing domain } \Omega \\ \rightarrow 0 & \text{when approaching } \partial \Omega \text{ from } \Omega \\ \rightarrow 0 & \text{at asymptotic ends} \\ = +\infty & \text{in } {}^{\complement}\Omega \end{cases}$

• $\omega := \frac{\mathbf{b}}{\mathbf{r}} \in [0, +\infty]$

• asymptotic radius $\mathbf{r} : \mathbf{M} \to [1, +\infty)$ $\mathbf{r} \simeq \left(1 + (\mathbf{d}_{g_0}(\cdot, y_0))^2\right)^{1/2}$

for some (fixed) point $y_0 \in M$

large integer $N \ge 1$

• boundary distance $\mathbf{b}: \mathbf{M} \to (0, 1]$

 $\mathbf{b} \begin{cases} \simeq \min\left(1, \left(\mathbf{d}_{\partial\Omega}/\mathbf{r}\right)^{N}\right) & \text{ in the gluing domain } \Omega \\ = +\infty & \text{ in the fixed domain } ^{\complement}\Omega \end{cases}$

• Weighted Hölder spaces $C_p^{l,\alpha}(\mathbf{M}, g_0, \omega)$ with

$$\|f\|_{g_0,\omega,p}^{l,\alpha} = \sum_{|L|\leqslant l} \sup_{\mathsf{M}} \left(\mathbf{b} \,\omega^{p+|L|} |\nabla^L f|_{g_0} \right) + \sum_{|L|=l} \sup_{\mathsf{M}} \left(\mathbf{b} \,\omega^{p+|L|+\alpha} \left[\!\left[\nabla^L f\right]\!\right]_{g_0,\alpha} \right)$$

Weighted Lebesgue spaces (and Sobolev spaces, etc.):

$$\|f\|_{L^2_p(\mathsf{M},g_0,\omega)}^2 := \int_{\mathsf{M}} |f|_{g_0}^2 \, \mathbf{b} \, \omega^{2p-n} \, dV_{g_0}$$

• Weighted Lebesgue-Hölder spaces $L^2 C^{I,\alpha}_{\omega}(\mathbf{M}, g_0)$ with $\left(\|\|f\|\|_{g_0,\omega,p}^{I,\alpha} \right)^2 \coloneqq \left(\|f\|_{L^2_p(\mathbf{M},g_0,\omega)} \right)^2 + \left(\|f\|_{C^{I,\alpha}_p(\mathbf{M},g_0,\omega)} \right)^2$

▲□▶▲□▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のへぐ

Definition

Consider a localized reference set $(\mathbf{M}, g_0, h_0, \omega)$ and some parameters $\varepsilon_G, \varepsilon_M > 0$. Consider **decay** and **accuracy exponents** (p_G, q_G) and (p_M, q_M)

 $(p_M, q_M) \ge (p_G, q_G)$

A (p_G, q_G, p_M, q_M) -localized seed data set $(g_1, h_1, H_{\star}, M_{\star})$ consists of:

- Near-reference data
 - g_1 is a Riemannian metric
 - h_1 is a symmetric (2, 0)-tensor

 $\|g_1 - g_0\|_{g_0,\omega,p_G}^{N,\alpha} \leq \varepsilon_G \qquad \|h_1 - h_0\|_{g_0,\omega,q_G}^{N-1,\alpha} \leq \varepsilon_G$

Near-Einsteinian data

 H_{\star} is a scalar field and M_{\star} is a vector field and $\left\| \mathcal{H}(g_{1},h_{1}) - \mathcal{H}_{\star} \right\|_{g_{0},\omega,p_{M}+2}^{N-4,\alpha} \leqslant \varepsilon_{M}$ $\left\| \mathcal{M}(g_{1},h_{1}) - \mathcal{M}_{\star} \right\|_{g_{0},\omega,q_{M}+1}^{N-3,\alpha} \leqslant \varepsilon_{M}$

- metrics with possibly slow decay
- treat, together, the Einstein operator on (g_1, h_1) and the matter content

We seek to make a "projection" of each (g_1, h_1) on the "solution manifold".

Variational framework

Corvino and Schoen

Given (f, V) consider the functional

$$\int_{\mathsf{M}} \left(\frac{1}{2} |d\mathcal{H}^*_{(g_1,h_1)}[u,Z]|^2 \mathbf{b} \,\omega^{2p-n} + \frac{1}{2} |d\mathcal{M}^*_{(g_1,h_1)}[u,Z]|^2 \mathbf{b} \,\omega^{2q-n} - f \,u - g_1(V,Z)\right) dV_{g_1}$$

Euler-Lagrange equation

(u, Z) minimizer: fourth-order PDEs $g - g_1 = \mathbf{b} \, \omega^{2p-n} d\mathcal{H}^*_{(g_1, h_1)}[u, Z]$ $h - h_1 = \mathbf{b} \, \omega^{2q-n} d\mathcal{M}^*_{(g_1, h_1)}[u, Z]$ $(f, V) = d\mathcal{G}_{(g_1, h_1)}[g - g_1, h - h_1]$

Fixed-point's Picard scheme

quadratic part of the Einstein operator
 decay properties of nonlinearities

$$\mathcal{Q}_{(g_1,h_1)}[g_2,h_2] := \mathcal{G}(g,h) - \mathcal{G}(g_1,h_1) - d\mathcal{G}_{(g_1,h_1)}[g_2,h_2]$$

• study sequences (f_i, V_i) and (g_i, h_i)

$$(f_i, V_i) := -Q_{(g_1, h_1)}[g_{i-1}, h_{i-1}] + (H_\star, M_\star) - \mathcal{G}(g_1, h_1)$$
$$(g_i, h_i) := (d\mathcal{G})_{(g_1, h_1)}^{-1}(f_i, V_i)$$

Operator $d\mathcal{G}_{(g_0,h_0)} \circ (\text{weights}) \circ d\mathcal{G}^*_{(g_0,h_0)}$

with $w_p = \mathbf{b} \, \omega^{2p-n}$ and $w_q = \mathbf{b} \, \omega^{2q-n}$

$$d\mathcal{H}[w_{p} d\mathcal{H}^{*}[u]] = (n-1)\Delta(w_{p} \Delta u) + (\nabla^{i}\nabla^{j}w_{p} - w_{p} R^{ij})(\nabla_{i}\nabla_{j}u - R_{ij}u) - (\Delta w_{p} - 2w_{p} R)\Delta u + (2R \nabla_{i}w_{p} + \frac{3}{2}w_{p} \nabla_{i}R)\nabla^{i}u + \frac{1}{2}(\Delta(w_{p} R) + (\Delta w_{p})R)u d\mathcal{M}[w_{q} d\mathcal{M}^{*}[Z]]^{i} = -\frac{1}{2}w_{q} (\nabla_{j}\nabla^{j}Z^{i} + \nabla_{j}\nabla^{i}Z^{j}) - \frac{1}{2}\nabla_{j}w_{q} (\nabla^{j}Z^{i} + \nabla^{i}Z^{j})$$

In the vicinity of an asymptotically Euclidian end: $e_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$

$$\mathcal{A}_{e,w_p}[u] \coloneqq (n-1)\Delta_e(w_p \Delta_e u) + (\partial_i \partial_j w_p)\partial_i \partial_j u - (\Delta_e w_p)\Delta_e u$$
$$\mathcal{B}_{e,w_q}[Z]^i \coloneqq -\frac{1}{2}w_q (\Delta_e Z^i + \partial_j \partial_i Z^j) - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_j w_q)(\partial_j Z^i + \partial_i Z^j)$$

Solution mapping

order of regularity $N \ge 4$ and Hölder exponent $\alpha \in (0, 1]$

Definition

- ▶ a localized reference set (M, g_0, h_0, ω) and parameters $\varepsilon_G, \varepsilon_M > 0$
- pairs (p_G, q_G) and (p_M, q_M) with $(p_M, q_M) \ge (p_G, q_G)$
- pair of exponents (p, q)

To any (p_G, q_G, p_M, q_M) -localized seed data set $(g_1, h_1, H_\star, M_\star)$, the (p, q)-localized seed-to-solution map associates a scalar-valued field u and a vector-valued field Z:

 $g = g_1 + \mathbf{b}\,\omega^{2p-n}\,d\mathcal{H}^*_{(g_1,h_1)}(u,Z) \qquad h = h_1 + \mathbf{b}\,\omega^{2q-n}\,d\mathcal{M}^*_{(g_1,h_1)}(u,Z)$ obey the Einstein constraints $\mathcal{G}(g,h) = (H_\star, M_\star)$ Lebesgue-Hölder norm

$$\begin{split} \| g - g_1 \|_{g_0,\omega,p}^{N,\alpha} &\leq \varepsilon_G \\ \| h - h_1 \|_{g_0,\omega,q}^{N-1,\alpha} &\leq \varepsilon_G \\ & \| u \|_{g_0,\omega,p}^{N,\alpha} \leq \| \mathcal{H}(g_1,h_1) - \mathcal{H}_{\star} \|_{g_0,\omega,p+2}^{N-4,\alpha} + \varepsilon_G \| \mathcal{M}(g_1,h_1) - \mathcal{M}_{\star} \|_{g_0,g_0,\omega,q+1}^{N-3,\alpha} \\ & \| Z \|_{g_0,\omega,q}^{N-1,\alpha} \leq \varepsilon_G \| \mathcal{H}(g_1,h_1) - \mathcal{H}_{\star} \|_{g_0,\omega,p+2}^{N-4,\alpha} + \| \mathcal{M}(g_1,h_1) - \mathcal{M}_{\star} \|_{g_0,\omega,q+1}^{N-3,\alpha} \end{split}$$

Our parametrization

Definition

Equivalence relation is defined between two pairs of tensors (g_1, h_1) and (g, h)

$$g = g_1 + \mathbf{b}\,\omega^{2p-n}\,d\mathcal{H}^*_{(g_0,h_0)}(u,Z), \quad h = h_1 + \mathbf{b}\,\omega^{2q-n}\,d\mathcal{M}^*_{(g_0,h_0)}(u,Z)$$

 $(g,h) \sim_{(\omega,p,q)} (g_1,h_1).$

for some scalar field u and vector field Z

Definition

For any given matter data H_{\star} , M_{\star} (possibly vacuum data) the corresponding solution map $S_{\omega,p,q}$ sending a (seed data) element (g_1, h_1) to one of its representative (exact solution) in the same class $[(g_1, h_1)]$, namely

$\mathcal{S}_{\omega,p,q}: (g_1,h_1) \in \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon_G,\varepsilon_M}(p_G,q_G;p_M,q_M) \mapsto (g,h) \in [(g_1,h_1)]$

is referred to as the **localized seed-to-solution parametrization** for Einstein's constraint equations in the vicinity of the localized reference set (M, g_0, h_0, ω) .

- make a specific choice of reference g_0, h_0
- establish weighted Poincaré and weighted Korn inequalities
- conditions required: geometry of the gluing domain, decay/accuracy
 exponents

Coercivity and elliptic regularity

- linearization $d\mathcal{G}_{(g_1,h_1)}$ of the Einstein operator \mathcal{G} around (g_1,h_1)
- not elliptic unless a gauge choice is made
- restrict the deformation (u, Z) to lie in the image of the dual operator $d\mathcal{G}^*_{(g_1,h_1)}$, up to weights that suitably localize the deformation of interest
- invertibility of the fourth-order operator $d\mathcal{G}_{(g_1,h_1)} \circ (\text{weights}) \circ d\mathcal{G}_{(g_1,h_1)}^*$
- weighted Hölder-Sobolev spaces
- weighted Poincaré inequality, weighted Korn inequality
- elliptic system in the sense of Douglis-Nirenberg, Hölder interior regularity estimates